



Diesel Fuel Lubricity

Requirements for Light Duty Fuel Injection Equipment

CARB Fuels Workshop

Sacramento, CA
Feb. 20, 2003

Klaus Meyer and Thomas C. Livingston
Robert Bosch GmbH



This presentation covers the interests of

- Robert Bosch GmbH**
- Delphi Diesel Systems**
- Denso Corporation**
- SiemensVDO Automotive AG**
- Stanadyne Automotive Corporation**



Our Mission is to increase the number of Diesel vehicles in the USA especially Passenger Cars + SUVs + Light Duty (LD)

- Build a Cleaner Environment
- Conserve Energy Resources
- Reduce Fuel Consumption / CAFE
 - Lower CO₂ Emission

- For Diesel Fuel Injection Equipment (DFIE)
Lubricity
is the most valuable and crucial property



Scope of Presentation

Introduction

Experience in Europe

Comparing USA and Europe

- Vehicles and DFIE
- Survey Data

Requirements

- HFRR method
- Sensitivity of DFIE to HFRR
- Rating Table for Assessed Pump Wear
- Endurance Performance

Data for Diesel Fuels with HFRR between 400 - 650 μm

- Pump Performance: Rotary pumps, Common Rail Systems

Engine Results

Conclusions



A Brief Review

- Sweden introduced sulphur-free fuels in 1990, California followed in 1993 with low-sulphur fuels
 - Failures of fuel-lubricated injection pumps (for passenger and light duty vehicles)
- Lubricity identified as cause
 - Hydroprocessing for desulphurization reduces lubricity enhancing fuel components
- All DFIE manufacturers **afflicted**
- Process to define wear test method and lubricity limit for fuel spec:
HFRR (ISO 12156-1, -2, ASTM D-6079)
® **EN 590 et al. Lubricity Limit =460 µm**
SLBOCLE (ASTM D-6078/99)



Current Situation in EU

- In Europe **40 %** of new cars are **Diesel** vehicles:
 - Passenger and Light Duty vehicles (e.g. SUV)
- EN 590 lubricity spec. (**HFRR 460 µm max.**) successfully prevents field problems
- Diesel vehicles improve fuel consumption **by 30 %** compared to SI engines
- Diesel vehicles have low fuel consumption (**up to 78 mpg**)
- Diesel vehicles produce **lower CO₂** emissions
- Diesel vehicles provide low service costs and high service intervals

- Drivers enjoy driving diesel vehicles due to superior torque characteristics
- Majority of High Pressure DFIE is **fuel-lubricated**



Main Differences in Diesel Vehicles

	Today ↓		Future ↓	
	U.S. / California	EU	U.S. / California	EU
Vehicles	• Heavy Duty • Light Duty	• Passenger • Light Duty • Heavy Duty	• Light Duty • Heavy Duty • Passenger	• Passenger • Light Duty • Heavy Duty
DFIE	• Inline pumps • UIS/UPS • Common Rail • Rotary pumps	• Common Rail • UIS/UPS • Rotary pumps	• Inline pumps • UIS/UPS • Common Rail • Rotary pumps	• Common Rail • UIS/UPS • Rotary pumps
Lubricity requirement	(+)	++	++	++
Lubricity specification	U.S.A.: none CA: SLBOCLE guideline	HFRR 460 µm max.	HFRR 460 µm max.	HFRR 460 µm max.




Samples from Summer 2002

Property	Unit	U.S.A.	Europe (EN 590)	Assessment of U.S.A. Quality
Density	kg/m ³	813 ... 863	820 ... 845	wide range
Viscosity	c.St. (40 °C)	2.1 ... 3.2	2.0 ... 4.5	o.k.
Dist. 95% vol rec.	°C	324 ... 344	< 360	o.k.
Total Aromatic Cont.	%	16 ... 46	n.a.	many high numbers
Cetane No.		44 ... 57	> 51	many low numbers
Sulphur	mg/kg	23 ... 416	< 350	not o.k. for aftertreatment
Water	mg/kg	42 ... 96	< 200	o.k.
Total Contamination (particulates)	mg/kg	0.8 ... 3.1	< 24	some high numbers (EN590 limit too high)
Lubricity	µm (HFRR 60C)	351 ... 648	< 460	80% of samples > 460 µm
Alcohol	% vol.	< 0.1	n.a.	o.k.




ISO 12156-1 Method



Test conditions:

Applied load	200 g $\pm$ 0.01 g
Stroke length	1 $\pm$ 0.02 mm
Frequency	50 $\pm$ 1 Hz
Test duration	75 $\pm$ 0.1 min
Fluid temperature	60 $\pm$ 2 °C
Fluid volume	2 $\pm$ 0.20 ml
Bath surface	6 $\pm$ 1 cm ²

®




®

WS1.4 μ m



Sensitivity of DFIE to HFRR



→ Linear regression: Pump wear 3.5 ==> WS1.4 = 454 μm




Table to Assess Pump Wear

Component	Wear rating: 1 – 3.5 Durability + performance = 100 %		Wear rating: 4 – 6 Durability reduced to 20 %		Wear rating: 7 – 10 Durability reduced to 1 % Immediate failure	
	Type of wear	Wear rate	Type of wear	Wear rate	Type of wear	Wear rate
Cam plate						
runway	rolling and abrasive	< 1 µm	seizure and fatigue	1 – 30 µm	fatigue	not determinable
cam plate centre	fretting	1 - 3 µm	fretting	3 - 10 µm		> 10 µm
cam plate claws	fretting	< 10 µm	rolling and fretting	10 - 20 µm	fretting	not determinable
					seizure	
Roller	rolling	< 1 µm	seizure and fatigue	1 - 5 µm	seizure and fatigue	not determinable
Roller bolt						
- point of contact to roller	rolling	< 1 µm	fretting and seizure	1 - 10 µm	seizure	>10 µm
- point of contact to roller ring	fretting	< 10 µm	fretting	10 - 15 µm	seizure	>15 µm
Fuel pump						
- blades	fretting	< 10 µm	fretting	10 - 200 µm	fretting and seizure	not determinable
- raceway	fretting	1 – 2 µm	fretting	2 - 100 µm	fretting and seizure	not determinable

→ Pump wear must not exceed “green” zone to meet customer expectation




Pump Wear vs. Lubricity over Lifetime



→ New DFIE designed to operate with “blue --” fuel



VE - Rotary Pump with **HFRR 450 μm Fuel**



Wear rating = 3.5


Bolts: **slight scuffing** Supply pump vanes: increased abrasive wear

→ Fuel represents borderline EU quality

→ Fuel adequate for purpose



VE - Rotary Pump with **HFRR 650 μm Fuel**



Wear rating = 8

Cam plate: 30 μm

Rollers: Seizure

Bolt: 15 μm



Piston: Broken

→ **Fuel represents worst case U.S. lubricity**

→ **Fuel unfit for purpose**



VP44 - Rotary Pump with **HFRR 400 μm Fuel**



Wear rating = 3.0



Supply pump, roller shoes, feed pump tooth system, and timing piston: minor polishing

→ **Fuel represents typical EU quality**

→ **Fuel fit for purpose**



VP44 - Rotary Pump with **HFRR 650 μm Fuel**



Wear rating = 7.0



Supply pump, feed pump tooth system, high pressure piston and vanes: severe wear

→ **Fuel represents worst case U.S. lubricity**

→ **Fuel unfit for purpose**






Common Rail System with HFRR 460 μm Fuel



- Fuel represents borderline EU quality
- Fuel adequate for purpose



Common Rail System with **HFRR 650 μm Fuel**



Wear rating = 9.0

Piston: Seizure Piston bottom center: 15 μm ; Bearing shell: Seizure; Polygon: $\geq 1000 \mu\text{m}$

→ **Fuel represents worst case U.S. lubricity**

→ **Fuel unfit for purpose**




Samples from Summer 2002

Property	Unit	U.S.A.	Europe (EN 590)	Assessment of U.S.A. Quality
Density	kg/m ³	813 ... 863	820 ... 845	wide range
Viscosity	c.St. (40 °C)	2.1 ... 3.2	2.0 ... 4.5	o.k.
Dist. 95% vol rec.	°C	324 ... 344	< 360	o.k.
Total Aromatic Cont.	%	16 ... 46	n.a.	many high numbers
Cetane No.		44 ... 57	> 51	many low numbers
Sulphur	mg/kg	23 ... 416	< 350	not o.k. for aftertreatment
Water	mg/kg	42 ... 96	< 200	o.k.
Total Contamination (particulates)	mg/kg	0.8 ... 3.1	< 24	some high numbers (EN590 limit too high)
Lubricity	µm (HFRR 60C)	351 ... 648	< 460	80% of samples > 460 µm
Alcohol	% vol.	< 0.1	n.a.	o.k.



NO_x and PM Reduction with CN 55 and 80 Fuels




Test conditions:

2.2 l DI engine

European test cycle; MNEDC (cold test with PI)



NO_x and PM Reduction with CN 60 Fuels




Test conditions:

6 cyl., 2.4 l, swirl chamber engine
U.S.-FTP75 test



Better Trade-offs for Soot/ NO_x and Fuel Consumption/ NO_x with CN 52 ® 59 Fuels



Test conditions:

1 Cyl. HD engine; V_d ca. 2 l, with EGR

Speed = 1710 rpm, Load = 100%

EGR rate ≤ 18 %

	fuel 1	S = 350ppm; CN = 52; T.AH.25%
	fuel 4	S = 10ppm; CN = 53; T.AH. 20%
	fuel 2	S = 10ppm; CN = 55; T.AH.12%
	fuel 3	S = 10ppm; CN = 59; T.AH. 7%



Reasoning for HFRR

- HFRR is an **adequate** test method
- HFRR provides **customer satisfaction**
- **HFRR 460 µm max.** known to prevent field problems
- All high-pressure fuel-lubricated injection systems are exceedingly lubricity-sensitive
and require clean fuels (no free water and/or contamination)
- Common-rail and Rotary pumps require the same level of lubricity
- Lubricity specification in ASTM D975 needed **ASAP**
- **Spec.** should not exceed HFRR: WS1.4 £ 460 µm (ISO 12156-1)
- **Bosch and DFIE industry willing to**
 - **share and validate experience**
 - **offer more tests and**
 - **cooperate with regulators (CARB, ...)**



Klaus Meyer

c/o Robert Bosch GmbH
Corporate Research
Dept. FV/FLM
P.O.B. 106050
D - 70049 Stuttgart
Germany

phone: +49-(0)711-811-6030
fax: +49-(0)711-811-267626
email: klaus.meyer@de.bosch.com

Thomas C. Livingston

c/o Robert Bosch Corporation
Dept. AP/EHD2.1
38000 Hills Tech Drive
Farmington Hills
Michigan 48331
U.S.A.

phone: (248)-553-1386
fax: (248)-324-7288
email: tom.livingston@us.bosch.com